IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 794 of 2001

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

BHARAT AGRICULTURAL CORPORATION

Versus

KRISHNAKANT MADHUSUDAN SHROFF

Appearance:

1. Civil Revision Application No. 794 of 2001

MR NILESH A PANDYA for Petitioner No. 1

MR PV NANAVATI for Respondent No. 1

MR VIBHUTI NANAVATI for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.C.PATEL

Date of decision: 05/10/2001

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Rule. Mr.Nanavaty, learned Counsel for the respondent waives service of rule. By consent the

revision application is taken up for final hearing.

The learned Counsel for the respondent states that he has no objection if fresh proclamation is issued under Order 21 Rule 67. The impugned order passed by the Executing Court is set aside and the Executing Court is directed to issue fresh proclamation under Order 21 Rule 67 of the Civil Procedure Code and proceed further in accordance with law. Rule is made absolute accordingly.

5-10-2001 (M.C.Patel, J.)

vinod